as reaching only 860,000 uninsured children. I understand that this is a complicated matter because some funds will be used for direct services and not to purchase insurance. But it just shows you that this whole area is not cheap.

We heard from Bruce Vladeck it costs about \$1,000 or so for a good, solid insurance policy. We also know that the Federal share of Medicaid this year averages about \$860 per child.

In the first year of the CHILD Program there will be an even 50/50 split between health care and deficit reduction so that \$3 billion will be used for program costs. In year five, this program component will grow to \$5 billion.

Using these numbers as a guide, it seems reasonable to expect that, depending a great deal how states chose to implement this program that our bill will be able to cover about 3.5 million or so children in the early years of the program and about 5 million children in the fifth year.

There are many variables such as which States chose to participate, what their State matching requirement is, what coinsurance and copayments they require, and so on. We must also take into account inflation which will erode the purchasing power of the yearly allocation.

Another way to look at the problem is to see how many children the \$16 billion in the budget agreement could cover. This \$16 billion amounts to an average of \$3.2 billion per year. If we used all of this money to buy Medicaid coverage at \$860 per child, it would cover about 3.7 million children.

This would still leave 1 million children under 125% of poverty with no health insurance.

Twenty-four billion dollars is about \$4.8 billion per year spread over 5 years.

Depending on how States implement the program, cost-sharing requirements and so forth, I think that would cover between 5 and 6.5 million, perhaps 7 million children.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. HUTCHISON). Who yields time?

Mr. ROTH. Madam President, I don't see anyone requiring further time to debate this issue.

So I yield whatever time I have remaining.

Mr. DOMENICI addressed the Chair. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Mexico.

Mr. DOMENICI. Is all time yielded?
The PRESIDING OFFICER. All time has been yielded.

Mr. DOMENICI. Madam President, I raise the point of order under section 302(f) of the Budget Act that amendment No. 520 results in the Finance Committee exceeding its spending allocations under section 602(a) of the Budget Act.

Mr. ROTH. Madam President, I move to waive all points of order against the committee amendment language for consideration of this provision now, and also for the language, if included at later stages, of the revenue reconciliation process such as in a conference report.

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

VOTE ON MOTION TO WAIVE THE BUDGET ACT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question occurs on agreeing to the motion to waive the Budget Act. The yeas and nays have been ordered. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. NICKLES. I announce that the Senator from Kansas [Mr. ROBERTS], is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber who desire to vote?

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 80, nays 19, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 135 Leg.]

YEAS-80

Abraham	Durbin	Lott
Akaka	Enzi	Lugar
Allard	Feingold	Mack
Baucus	Feinstein	McCain
Bennett	Frist	Mikulski
Biden	Glenn	Moseley-Braun
Bingaman	Gorton	Moynihan
Bond	Graham	Murkowski
Boxer	Grassley	Murray
Breaux	Hagel	Reed
Brownback	Harkin	Reid
Bryan	Hatch	Robb
Bumpers	Hollings	Rockefeller
Burns	Hutchison	Roth
Byrd	Inouye	Santorum
Campbell	Jeffords	Sarbanes
Chafee	Johnson	
Cleland	Kempthorne	Shelby
Cochran	Kennedy	Smith (OR)
Collins	Kerrey	Snowe
Conrad	Kerry	Specter
D'Amato	Kohl	Stevens
Daschle	Landrieu	Thomas
DeWine	Lautenberg	Torricelli
Dodd	Leahy	Warner
Domenici	Levin	Wellstone
Dorgan	Lieberman	Wyden

NAYS—19

NA 1 5—13			
Ashcroft	Grams	Nickles	
Coats	Gregg	Sessions	
Coverdell	Helms	Smith (NH)	
Craig	Hutchinson	Thompson	
Faircloth	Inhofe	Thurmond	
Ford	Kyl		
Gramm	McConnell		

NOT VOTING—1 Roberts

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote the yeas are 80, the nays are 19. Three-fifths of the Senators duly chosen and sworn having voted in the affirmative, the motion is agreed to. The Budget Act is waived.

Mr. ROTH. Madam President, I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. MOYNIHAN. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. ROTH addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Delaware.

Mr. ROTH. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the next two first-degree amendments in order to S. 949 first be an amendment by Senator

DOMENICI regarding budget enforcement, to be followed by an amendment by Senator BYRD regarding the budget.

Mr. KERRY. Reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. KERRY. Reserving the right to object. I will not object.

Mr. DURBIN. Reserving the right to object, if I might ask the chairman before this unanimous consent is considered, I have an amendment pending, which I believe is the regular order, that I would like to have called up.

Mr. ROTH. I would say to the distinguished Senator from Illinois that we want to move ahead on a few amendments that I had mentioned here on a unanimous-consent basis. We will discuss with the Senator later his amendment.

Mr. DURBIN. Do I have the chairman's assurance that this amendment will be protected, there will be time for debate on it this evening?

Mr. ROTH. Yes. There will be time to debate it this evening. That is correct. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AMENDMENT NO. 520, AS AMENDED

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. The question now occurs on amendment No. 520, as amended, offered by the Senator from Delaware. If there be no further debate, the question is on agreeing to the amendment.

The amendment (No. 520), as amended, was agreed to.

Mr. ROTH. Madam President, I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. MOYNIHAN. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. ROTH. I believe the distinguished Senator from New York would like us to go into morning business.

Mr. MOYNIHAN. Could we have 10 minutes for morning business, that we might discuss a momentous decision or nondecision by the Supreme Court this morning?

Mr. ROTH. I so move, Madam President.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. We are in 10 minutes of morning business.

Mr. BYRD addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from West Virginia is recognized.

RAINES V. BYRD

Mr. BYRD. Madam President, earlier today, in a seven-to-two decision, the United States Supreme Court ruled that Members of Congress do not have the requisite constitutional standing necessary to challenge the Line Item Veto Act.

That decision overturns the April 10 ruling of the U.S. District Court, which held that the Act does, indeed, injure